

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Auuress. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/060,047	04/14/1998	RICHARD L. DUNN	8905.157US01	9184
7590 08/02/2004		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH,PA			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

RIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
				XAMINER
			TIMU TEA	PAPER QUMBER
				7/28/04
			OVIE WATED.	

TO A TO THE TOTAL AND TO A DESIGNATION
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 C 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3/K/or has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deer to place the application in condition for allowance:
The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
e. [] They present adolpoing claims willout exhibiting a comospenion given by the second community in
NOTE: " WATER-LIKE CONSISTENCY RAUGE AN ISSUE
UNDER 35 USC 112 # 2 AS TO VACUENTIS
CLAIM 32 REDUILES PURTICE SEATEST AND FOR
CONSIDERATION
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cance the non-allowable claims.
3. DUpon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🗌 will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims wi
be as follows:
Claims allowed:
Claims objected to:
Claims rejected: 1-5.14.16.17.46.47
Claims rejected: 1-3, 14, 15, 17, 24, 29
However;
the state of the s
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 162 e
However;
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 162e The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earling presented.
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earling presented.
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earli presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other 1449 ART HAS REGU CROGLED FROM THE CENTRAL
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earling presented.
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earli presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other 1449 ART HAS BEEN GROGLED FROM THE CENTRAL